

EVALUATION

A total of seven processes were tested at the Adams facility which included four first-stage processes, two second-stage processes, and one third-stage process. The processes were operated for a number of parameters. The processes are evaluated according to the stages and the process trains. Periods for evaluation of the individual processes and trains are grouped around dates on which significant events occurred. These events include the installation of the cylinder and cone in UASBR, change in methanol dosage rates for the process, change in phosphate dosage rate into feedwater, weather conditions, and start-up and operations of second and third-stage processes. The values in the summary tables are average values for the period that were collected or determined from data collected on a regular basis. Care should be taken when using the period average values for evaluation due to the number of data available for the period and may be heavily influenced by one data value.

First-stage Processes

The UASBR, UA2, FBR2 and PBR were the four first-stage processes tested at the facility. The primary purpose for the first-stage processes was to evaluate the processes and trains to reduce/remove selenium from agricultural drainage water. Since 85 to 95% of selenium in drainage water is in selenate ($\text{Se}+6$) state, selenium's most soluble form, the primary objective of a first-stage process was to reduce soluble selenium (selenate) to selenite ($\text{Se}+4$) or further to the particulate state (elemental). An ideal first-stage process would entirely remove all forms of selenium through volatilization.

To evaluate the processes on an equal basis (i.e., identical feedwater, operation of methanol dosing system, temperature, etc.), the periods used in the following tables were primarily based upon UASBR's Period 1 testing (two periods in Table 4), start of UA2 testing on June 1, 1994, the phosphate dosage rate (changes on August 1, 1994 and August 29, 1995), installation of cylinder (June 1994) and cone (July 1995) in the UASBR, and flooding at the facility (March 1995). Dates for these significant events are listed in the Appendix of this report.

Table 4 compares percentage reduction of Sse that occurred in the first-stage processes. The highest reduction of soluble selenium occurred when the UASBR was initially put into operation using the bakery sludge in September 1992. Sse reduction was consistently above 80% for six weeks until December 7, 1992 with a retention time of 30 hours. The primary problem identified for this period and through the entire testing program was maintaining a uniform flow through the sludge bed. During this period, high upflow velocities (1.8 gpm/ft²) were used to elevate and mix the sludge bed, but in time proved inadequate. Mixing systems using various recycling schemes were instituted during all the periods of UASBR testing, but results varied.

As shown in Table 4, the UA2 demonstrated the best Sse reduction at the lowest retention time of all the first-stage processes for all periods except for the last one from September 2 through November 21, 1995. During this last 10-week period, both the PBR's and FBR's Sse reductions were higher at 65.4% and 64.9%, respectively, but the retention times were 3.4 and 2 times than that of the UA2. The FBR's retention time is based upon only the reactor volume and does not include the volume of the 100-gallon recycle tank.

Table 4
First-stage Processes
Reduction of Soluble Selenium and Retention Time

| DATE | | UASBR | | UA2 | | FBR2 | | PBR | |
|-----------|----------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| From | To | Soluble Se Reduction % | Retention Time hours | Soluble Se Reduction % | Retention Time hours | Soluble Se Reduction % | Retention Time hours | Soluble Se Reduction % | Retention Time hours |
| 10/26/92 | 12/07/92 | 80.8 | 29.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12/07/92 | 03/09/93 | 58.0 | 29.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 02/02/94 | 05/31/94 | 58.6 | 9.6 | - | - | 66.8 | 3.7 | 25.7 | 6.5 |
| 06/01/94 | 06/23/94 | 65.1 | 9.6 | 80.1 | 3.6 | 73.4 | 3.7 | 74.5 | 6.5 |
| 07/13/94 | 08/01/94 | 56.8 | 5.2 | 75.0 | 3.6 | 66.9 | 3.7 | 43.9 | 6.5 |
| 08/02/94 | 02/15/95 | 36.1 | 5.2 | 47.7 | 2.1 | 35.4 | 3.7 | 34.7 | 6.5 |
| 03/27/95 | 07/07/95 | 45.1 | 7.6 | 63.5 | 1.9 | 44.3 | 4.0 | 60.4 | 6.5 |
| 07/10/95 | 08/29/95 | 48.8 | 9.1 | 53.9 | 1.9 | 32.0 | 4.0 | 74.5 | 6.5 |
| 09/02/95 | 11/21/95 | 52.7 | 10.3 | 52.7* | 1.9 | 64.9 | 4.0 | 65.4 | 6.5 |
| Average** | | 47.0 | | 55.4 | | 49.2 | | 48.8 | |

*

Period from 09/02/94 through 11/02/94

** Averages are weighted according to number of analyses per period

Table 5 shows the increase in alkalinity concentration along with the decrease in the pH value for all four first-stage processes. The values in the table are averages for the period. The increase in alkalinity is a result of the denitrification process. Alkalinity increases for the last period in Table 5 for all four processes were on par with the calculated increase of 198 mg/L as CaCO₃ when using IC analyzed nitrate data (see Nitrate Analyses section) from August 1, 1995 through November 21, 1995.

Table 5
First-stage Processes
Change in Alkalinity and pH

| From | To | Alkalinity | pH | Alkalinity | pH | Alkalinity | pH | Alkalinity | pH |
|----------|----------|------------|------|------------|------|------------|------|------------|------|
| 10/26/92 | 12/07/92 | | na | | na | | na | | na |
| 12/07/92 | 03/09/93 | | na | | na | | na | | na |
| 02/02/94 | 05/31/94 | | -0.1 | | na | | 0.1 | | 0.4 |
| 06/01/94 | 06/23/94 | | -0.1 | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | 0.2 |
| 07/13/94 | 08/01/94 | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | 0.0 |
| 08/02/94 | 12/19/94 | | -0.0 | | -0.1 | | 0.2 | | 2.0 |
| 12/20/94 | 02/15/95 | 112 | -0.2 | 140 | 0.0 | 98 | 0.5 | 123 | -0.1 |
| 03/27/95 | 07/07/95 | 138 | -0.2 | 141 | -0.1 | 71 | 0.2 | 151 | 0.0 |
| 07/10/95 | 08/29/95 | 140 | -0.3 | 158 | -0.4 | 71 | 0.0 | 172 | -0.2 |
| 09/02/95 | 11/21/95 | 172 | 0.0 | 178 | -0.5 | 159 | -0.1 | 174 | 0.0 |

pH analyses began in March 1994

Alkalinity analyses began in December 1994

Alkalinity units are mg/L as CaCO₃. na is not available

Two summary tables for the first-stage processes are presented in Tables 6 and 7. Table 6 summarizes operation parameters of phosphate and methanol dosage rates, detention time and upflow velocity, average effluent dissolved oxygen and nitrate concentrations, and average nitrate and soluble selenium percent reduction values for periods of operation similar to those in Tables 4 and 5. Table 7 summarizes the effluent total, soluble and particulate selenium concentrations and percentage reduction of Tse and Sse and for each of the first-stage processes.

Table 6
First-stage Processes
Operation Parameters, Effluent Concentrations and Reduction of Nitrate and Soluble Selenium

| Date From To | Phosphate Dosage mg/L as P | Methanol Dosage mg/L as methanol | Retention Time hours | Upflow Velocity gpm/ft ² | Effluent D.Oxygen mg/l | Effluent Nitrate mg/L as N | Nitrate Reduced percent | Soluble Se Reduced percent |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| UASBR-Period 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 10/17/92 - 03/08/93 | 0.05 | 349 | 29.9 | 1.821 | 1 | 1.3 | 94.4 | 66 |
| UASBR-Period 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 02/02/94 - 06/23/94 | 0.5 | 464 | 9.6 | 0.212 | 1 | 6.9 | 64.9 | 60.3 |
| 07/13/94 - 08/01/94 | 0.5 | 248 | 5.2 | 0.227 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 81.1 | 56.8 |
| 08/02/94 - 02/15/95 | 0.25 | 355 | 5.2 | 0.216 | 1.7 | 10 | 62.9 | 36.1 |
| 03/27/95 - 07/07/95 | 0.25 | 364 | 7.6 | 0.216 | 0.9 | 9.1 | 67.7 | 45.1 |
| 07/10/95 - 08/29/95 | 0.25 | 377 | 9.1 | 0.245 | 0.4 | 7.7 | 78.6 | 48.8 |
| 09/02/95 - 11/21/95 | 1.3 | 390 | 10.3 | 0.433 | 0.5 | 5 | 85.5 | 52.7 |
| UA2 | | | | | | | | |
| 06/01/94 - 08/01/94 | 0.5 | 319 | 3.6 | 0.234 | 0.6 | 6.8 | 77.7 | 77.9 |
| 08/02/94 - 08/14/94 | 0.25 | 304 | 3.6 | 0.202 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 84.6 | 66.3 |
| 08/15/94 - 03/09/95 | 0.25 | 336 | 2.1 | 0.442 | 0.7 | 10.3 | 62.9 | 46.2 |
| 04/01/95 - 08/29/95 | 0.25 | 340 | 1.9 | 0.386 | 0.4 | 6.1 | 81.1 | 60.2 |
| 09/02/95 - 11/02/95 | 1.3 | 400 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 87.9 | 52.7 |
| FBR2 | | | | | | | | |
| 10/21/93 - 12/17/97 | 0.5 | 147 | 0.82 | 30.7 | 2.3 | 21.5 | 21 | 12.5 |
| 12/31/93 - 08/01/94 | 0.5 | 282 | 3.7 | 36.5 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 88.4 | 62.1 |
| 08/02/94 - 01/10/95 | 0.25 | 260 | 3.7 | 51.3 | 0.8 | 8.4 | 68.5 | 32.5 |
| 02/03/95 - 03/09/95 | 0.25 | 287 | 3.7 | 60.4 | 0.7 | 13 | 60 | 66 |
| 04/01/95 - 09/01/95 | 0.25 | 279 | 4 | 63.5 | 0.5 | 7.4 | 77 | 40.2 |
| 09/02/95 - 11/21/95 | 1.3 | 284 | 4 | 42.4 | 0.5 | 3 | 91.2 | 64.9 |
| PBR | | | | | | | | |
| 01/01/94 - 03/17/94 | 0.5 | 321 | 6.5 | 0.17 | 0.9 | 23.5 | -0.3 | 24.3 |
| 03/18/94 - 08/01/94 | 0.25 | 218 | 6.5 | 0.17 | 1 | 4.7 | 81 | 56.2 |
| 08/02/94 - 03/09/95 | 0.25 | 260 | 6.5 | 0.17 | 0.8 | 16.3 | 40.7 | 32.8 |
| 04/01/95 - 08/29/95 | 0.25 | 271 | 6.5 | 0.17 | 0.5 | 4.5 | 86.1 | 65.5 |
| 09/16/95 - 11/21/95 | 1.3 | 264 | 6.5 | 0.17 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 92.3 | 65.4 |

*as methanol

Table 7
First-stage Processes
Effluent Selenium Concentrations and Selenium Reductions

| Date From To | Effluent Total Se ug/L | Effluent Soluble Se ug/L | Effluent Part Se ug/L | Effluent Selenite ug/L | Total Se Reduced percent | Soluble Se Reduced percent |
|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| UASBR-Period 1 | | | | | | |
| 10/26/93 - 03/08/93 | 379 | 168 | 211 | 23 | 27.2 | 66.0 |
| UASBR - Period 3 | | | | | | |
| 10/21/93 - 02/01/94 | 447 | 341 | 108 | 52 | 11.0 | 30.9 |
| 02/02/94 - 05/31/94 | 346 | 197 | 129 | 33 | 30.8 | 58.6 |
| 06/01/94 - 06/23/94 | 576 | 226 | 365 | 40 | -9.0 | 58.8 |
| 07/13/94 - 08/01/94 | 739 | 199 | 540 | 36 | -36.1 | 61.4 |
| 08/02/94 - 11/02/94 | 407 | 319 | 178 | 43 | 8.8 | 37.8 |
| 11/03/94 - 02/15/95 | 476 | 336 | 140 | 20 | 19.7 | 39.2 |
| 03/27/95 - 07/07/95 | 388 | 256 | 133 | 36 | 18.6 | 45.0 |
| 07/10/95 - 08/29/95 | 444 | 250 | 193 | 18 | 10.3 | 48.8 |
| 09/02/95 - 11/21/95 | 541 | 282 | 282 | 24 | 5.7 | 52.7 |
| Weighted Average** | 456 | 281 | 172 | 35 | 13.6 | 44.5 |
| UA2 | | | | | | |
| 06/01/94 - 06/23/94 | 354 | 111 | 263 | 9 | 35.4 | 79.0 |
| 07/13/94 - 08/01/94 | 665 | 114 | 551 | 31 | -23.9 | 77.1 |
| 08/02/94 - 11/02/94 | 388 | 164 | 225 | 27 | 28.2 | 68.0 |
| 11/03/94 - 02/15/95 | 521 | 359 | 162 | 24 | 3.8 | 28.9 |
| 03/27/95 - 07/07/95 | 391 | 164 | 242 | 17 | 18.6 | 64.6 |
| 07/10/95 - 08/29/95 | 561 | 226 | 336 | 48 | -15.1 | 53.9 |
| 09/02/95 - 11/01/95 | 492 | 268 | 223 | 29 | 11.7 | 51.9 |
| Weighted Average** | 470 | 223 | 248 | 26 | 10.2 | 55.5 |
| FBR2 | | | | | | |
| 10/21/93 - 02/01/94 | 431 | 400 | 45 | 44 | 23.0 | 26.4 |
| 02/02/94 - 05/31/94 | 331 | 155 | 191 | 30 | 27.2 | 67.3 |
| 06/01/94 - 06/23/94 | 323 | 141 | 182 | 20 | 39.2 | 73.3 |
| 07/13/94 - 08/01/94 | 288 | 171 | 116 | 67 | 46.9 | 66.9 |
| 08/02/94 - 11/02/94 | 469 | 321 | 147 | 46 | 20.9 | 43.3 |
| 11/03/94 - 02/15/95 | 475 | 362 | 113 | 38 | 15.7 | 31.5 |
| 03/27/95 - 07/07/95 | 332 | 277 | 55 | 50 | 35.5 | 44.3 |
| 07/10/95 - 08/29/95 | 378 | 335 | 44 | 6 | 23.2 | 32.0 |
| 09/02/95 - 11/21/95 | 299 | 212 | 87 | 22 | 49.5 | 64.9 |
| Weighted Average** | 382 | 275 | 109 | 36 | 28.7 | 44.7 |
| PBR | | | | | | |
| 01/03/94 - 02/01/94 | 403 | 319 | 84 | 28 | 15.9 | 32.4 |
| 02/02/94 - 05/31/94 | 398 | 340 | 79 | 43 | 13.5 | 29.1 |
| 06/01/94 - 06/23/94 | 419 | 134 | 285 | 17 | 22.2 | 75.5 |
| 07/13/94 - 08/01/94 | 396 | 287 | 108 | 70 | 26.8 | 43.9 |
| 08/02/94 - 11/02/94 | 363 | 212 | 150 | 51 | 37.5 | 61.1 |
| 11/03/94 - 02/15/95 | 488 | 430 | 58 | 20 | 9.5 | 14.4 |
| 03/27/95 - 07/07/95 | 274 | 171 | 110 | 28 | 38.8 | 60.4 |
| 07/10/95 - 08/29/95 | 286 | 126 | 160 | 19 | 42.1 | 74.5 |
| 09/02/95 - 11/21/95 | 487 | 205 | 282 | 21 | 17.9 | 65.4 |
| Weighted Average** | 390 | 259 | 135 | 32 | 27.0 | 49.7 |

*All values are average for period

**Weighted average is for entire testing period

Second-stage Processes

FBR1 and SSF2 were the two second-stage processes tested at the Adams facility. Due to the nature of the processes and their position in the treatment train, their treatment objectives were different. With FBR1 being the second stage of a three-stage process, its objective was to further reduce selenium to an elemental or particulate state. Since SSF2 was the final stage of Treatment Train 2, its primary objective was to remove particulate selenium.

Table 8 provides a summary of operation parameters and resulting nitrate and soluble selenium reduction percentages for FBR1. The best SSe reduction of 51.1% was achieved at the end of testing from September through November 1995 when the reactor was operated at the longest retention time of 1.5 hours and occurred when the plant's feedwater phosphate dosage rate was 1.3 mg/L.

Table 8
Second-stage Processes
Operation Parameters and Nitrate
and Soluble Selenium Reduced

| Date | | Phosphate Dosage mg/L as P | Retention Time hours | Upflow Velocity gpm/ft2 | Average Effluent DO mg/L | Average Effluent Nitrate mg/L as N | Nitrate Reduced Percent | Soluble Selenium Reduced Percent |
|-------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| From | To | | | | | | | |
| Fluidized Bed Reactor 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 10/21/93 | 02/01/94 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 17.2 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 77.6 | 40.4 |
| 02/02/94 | 05/31/94 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 24 | 0.8 | 2 | 65.1 | 21.8 |
| 06/01/94 | 06/23/94 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 28.8 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 47.8 | 44.8 |
| 07/13/94 | 08/01/94 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 31.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 84.5 | 46.5 |
| 08/02/94 | 01/19/95 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 48 | 0.7 | 9.9 | -6.2 | 5.3 |
| 01/20/95 | 02/13/95 | 0.25 | 0.6 | 72 | 10 | 16.2 | -5.6 | 5.6 |
| 03/31/95 | 07/07/95 | 0.25 | 0.6 | 67 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 47.5 | 28.9 |
| 07/22/95 | 08/18/95 | 0.25 | 0.6 | 67 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 65.7 | 32.8 |
| 09/06/95 | 11/21/95 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 61.1 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 79.9 | 51.5 |
| Slow Sand Filter 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 11/08/93 | 02/01/94 | 0.5 | 1.5 | na | 1.1 | 4.9 | 60 | 31 |
| 02/02/94 | 05/31/94 | 0.5 | 1.5 | na | 0.7 | 3.1 | * | 47.4 |
| 06/01/94 | 06/23/94 | 0.5 | 1.5 | na | 0.6 | 3.1 | * | 37.1 |
| 07/13/94 | 08/01/94 | 0.5 | 1.5 | na | 0.6 | 21 | 22.5 | -46.7 |
| 08/02/94 | 11/02/94 | 0.25 | 1.5 | na | 0.5 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 31.3 |

The other second-stage process, slow sand filter 2, was operated for a 1-year period from November 1993 to November 1994. The SSF2 was operated at feed flow rate of 0.5 gpm, which equates to a retention time (or empty bed contact time) of 1.5 hours. Table 9 is summary of the results for the second-stage processes and provides effluent selenium concentrations and percentages of selenium reduced. The negative % values in the table show that the constituent increased from the influent to the effluent concentration. As previously stated in the report, particulate selenium is the difference of soluble selenium from total selenium. For this report, it should be noted that in all calculations for Pse reduction and Pse concentration averages, Pse was taken to be 1 when the Pse value was 0 or a negative number.

Table 9
Second-stage Processes
Effluent Selenium Concentrations and
Percentages of Selenium Reductions

| Date From To | Total. Selenium ug/L | Soluble Selenium ug/L | Particulate Selenium ug/L | Selenite ug/L | Total Se Reduced percent | Soluble Se Reduced percent | Part. Se Reduced percent |
|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| FBR1 | | | | | | | |
| 10/21/93 - 02/01/94 | 444 | 207 | 237 | 51 | 3.9 | 39.7 | -113.0 |
| 02/02/94 - 05/31/94 | 338 | 115 | 175 | 28 | 5.4 | 21.8 | -38.9 |
| 06/01/94 - 06/23/94 | 452 | 95 | 340 | 23 | 2.0 | 44.8 | -26.6 |
| 07/13/94 - 08/01/94 | 413 | 109 | 304 | 40 | 1.7 | 53.6 | -112.0 |
| 08/02/94 - 11/02/94 | 469 | 272 | 198 | 89 | 4.9 | 18.6 | -45.0 |
| 11/03/94 - 02/15/94 | 429 | 357 | 71 | 63 | 10.9 | -9.0 | 51.9 |
| 03/27/95 - 07/07/95 | 263 | 182 | 82 | 67 | 30.5 | 26.3 | 34.1 |
| 07/10/95 - 08/29/95 | 296 | 157 | 139 | 14 | 31.1 | 35.5 | 23.1 |
| 09/02/95 - 11/21/95 | 339 | 127 | 212 | 30 | 33.6 | 51.5 | -41.0 |
| Weighted Average** | 382 | 200 | 178 | 51 | 15.0 | 28.6 | -21.7 |
| SSF2 | | | | | | | |
| 11/08/93 - 02/01/94 | 311 | 230 | 90 | 65 | 30 | 31.0 | 13.9 |
| 02/02/94 - 05/31/94 | 187 | 100 | 87 | 40 | 46.9 | 47.4 | 21.7 |
| 06/01/94 - 06/23/94 | 198 | 109 | 78 | 9 | 48.0 | 37.1 | 63.7 |
| 07/13/94 - 08/01/94 | 552 | 297 | 52 | 29 | 83.8 | 53.1 | 9.8 |
| 08/02/94 - 11/02/94 | 309 | 233 | 78 | 85 | 40.9 | 31.3 | 8.0 |
| Weighted Average** | 279 | 182 | 95 | 56 | 37.6 | 32.3 | 18.6 |

*All values average for period

**Weighted average is for entire period

For FBR1, particulate selenium increased in six out of the nine analysis periods of Table 7. The lowest average total selenium concentrations of 263 ug/L and 296 ug/L occurred during two consecutive periods from March through September 1995 when the particulate selenium was reduced 34.1% and 23.1%. As for SSF1, the lowest effluent total selenium concentration averaged 187 ug/L and 198 ug/L for two consecutive periods that encompassed February through May 1994 and occurred when particulate removal was highest at 21.7% and 63.7%.

Third-stage Process

Slow sand filter 1 was the solitary third-stage process tested at the Adams facility. Testing with the SSF1 was identical to the SSF2's testing time frame from November 1993 to November 1994 and at identical operation parameters. A summary of effluent selenium concentrations and selenium reduction results for SSF1's operation is shown by Table 10. The effluent total selenium concentration averaged 195 ug/L from February through May 1994. During this period, additional selenium reduction occurred as evidenced by the 66.6% increase of Pse through the filter.

Table 10
Third-stage Process
Effluent Selenium Concentrations and
Percentages of Selenium Reductions

| Date From To | Effluent Total Se ug/L | Effluent Soluble Se ug/L | Effluent Part. Se ug/L | Effluent Selenite ug/L | Total Se Reduced percent | Soluble Se Reduced percent | Part. Se Reduced percent | Selenite Reduced percent |
|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| SSF 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 11/08/93 - 02/01/94 | 220 | 108 | 112 | 25 | 50.4 | 48.5 | 12.4 | 12.4 |
| 02/02/94 - 05/31/94 | 195 | 81 | 120 | 24 | 44.2 | 34.0 | 23.4 | 23.4 |
| 06/01/94 - 06/23/94 | 275 | 144 | 100 | 21 | 31.8 | -62.6 | 57.8 | 57.8 |
| 07/13/94 - 08/01/94 | 301 | 68 | 233 | 37 | 29.7 | 36.2 | 32.2 | 32.2 |
| 08/02/94 - 11/02/94 | 254 | 199 | 53 | 84 | 45.0 | 8.7 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Weighted Average** | 236 | 132 | 102 | 46 | 45.1 | 15.9 | 24.1 | 24.1 |

*All values average for period

**Weighted average is for entire period

Process Trains 1 and 2

There were two process trains tested at the Adams facility. Process Train 1 consisted of the UASBR>FBR1>SSF1 and Train 2 was the UASBR>SSF2. Both trains were operated for approximately one year and ended on November 2, 1994 when testing the filtration process ceased. A summary of effluent selenium concentrations and selenium reduction results for both trains is shown by Table 11.

Table 11
Process Trains 1 and 2
Effluent Selenium Concentrations and
Percentages of Selenium Reductions

| Date From To | Effluent Total Se ug/L | Effluent Soluble Se ug/L | Effluent Part. Se ug/L | Effluent Selenite ug/L | Total Se Reduced percent | Soluble Se Reduced percent |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Process Train 1: UASBR>FBR1>SSF1 | | | | | | |
| 11/08/93 - 02/01/94 | 220 | 108 | 112 | 25 | 57.5 | 78.8 |
| 02/02/94 - 05/31/94 | 196 | 81 | 120 | 24 | 61.4 | 83.4 |
| 06/01/94 - 06/23/94 | 281 | 143 | 98 | 23 | 46.6 | 64.1 |
| 07/13/94 - 08/01/94 | 301 | 68 | 233 | 37 | 44.5 | 86.8 |
| 08/02/94 - 11/02/94 | 254 | 199 | 53 | 84 | 53.2 | 60.8 |
| Weighted Average** | 235 | 133 | 102 | 46 | 56.6 | 73.3 |
| Process Train 2: UASBR>SSF2 | | | | | | |
| 11/08/93 - 02/01/94 | 311 | 230 | 90 | 65 | 44.2 | 58.5 |
| 02/02/94 - 05/31/94 | 187 | 100 | 87 | 40 | 66.1 | 80.9 |
| 06/01/94 - 06/23/94 | 189 | 106 | 70 | 9 | 63.4 | 76.7 |
| 07/13/94 - 08/01/94 | 552 | 297 | 255 | 47 | -3.1 | 41.3 |
| 08/02/94 - 11/02/94 | 309 | 233 | 78 | 85 | 43.1 | 53.9 |
| Weighted Average** | 279 | 182 | 95 | 56 | 49.2 | 64.5 |

*All values average for period.

**Weighted average is for entire period.

For the overall operation, Train 1 had marginally better results than Train 2. Overall, Tse and Sse reductions for Train 1 were 56.6% and 73.3%, respectively, while the Tse and Sse reductions for Train 2 were 49.2% and 64.4%, respectively.